

San Mateo County CoC Project Priority List – 2018 CoC NOFA

September 1, 2018

Tier	Rank	Project Name	Provider Name	Type	Grant Request	Running Total
1	1	S+C Tenant Based (SP10)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$2,991,028	\$2,991,028
	2	S+C Belmont Apartments (SP8)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$262,879	\$3,253,907
	3	SAYAT Program	MHA	PSH	\$74,666	\$3,328,573
	4	SHP Scattered Sites	Housing Authority	PSH	\$1,130,311	\$4,458,884
	5	S+C Tenant Based (SP14)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$84,441	\$4,543,325
	6	SP16 Expansion	Housing Authority	PSH	\$1,950,574	\$6,493,899
	7	Vendome 2018	LifeMoves	PSH	\$237,712	\$6,731,611
	8	Casa de Sor Juana Ines	CORA	TH	\$229,668	\$6,961,279
	9	S+C Sponsor Based (SP2)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$1,494,558	\$8,455,837
	10	S+C Tenant Based (SP13)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$91,367	\$8,547,204
	11	PSH (SP17)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$738,236	\$9,285,440
	12	RRH 2018	LifeMoves	RRH	\$492,900	\$9,778,340
	13	Redwood Family House	LifeMoves	TH	\$133,750	\$9,912,090
	14	PSH (SP18)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$508,327	\$10,420,417
	15	SP 15 (Waverly Place)	Housing Authority	PSH	\$286,777	\$10,707,194
	16	HMIS	HSA	HMIS	\$80,110	\$10,787,304
	17	Family Crossroads (Tier 1)	LifeMoves	TH	\$85,612	\$10,872,916
2	18	Family Crossroads (Tier 2)	LifeMoves	TH	\$48,138	\$10,921,054
	19	SP16 Expansion 2	Housing Authority	PSH	\$694,016	\$11,615,070
	20	SAFE	LifeMoves	RRH	\$176,151	\$11,791,221
	21	Spring Street Transitional	MHA	TH	\$40,283	\$11,831,504
	22	First Step for Families	LifeMoves	TH	\$429,444	\$12,260,948
	23	DV Housing First	CORA	RRH	\$264,125	\$12,525,073

*Tier 1 threshold = \$10,872,916

**New projects selected to be included in application are highlighted in green

Renewal projects that were reallocated or partially reallocated	None
New project applications received but will not be included in application	None

CoC planning grant (not ranked)	CoC Planning/System Coordination	HSA	\$347,008
--	----------------------------------	-----	-----------

San Mateo County Continuum of Care - 2018 NOFA Review Panel Meeting

August 21, 2018, 9:00 am – 12:30 pm, HSA office, 1 Davis Drive, Belmont

Minutes

Present: Review Panel Members

Committee support: Kate Bristol (Focus Strategies); and HSA staff Jessica Silverberg, Brian Eggers, Lody Saba, and Khalia Parish.

Topic	Discussion	Action/ Next Steps
Review of Rating and Ranking Policy	Focus Strategies and HSA staff provided an overview of the Project Review and Ranking Process policy (approved by the CoC Steering Committee on July 13, 2018), the role of this committee, and aspects of the NOFA that impact scoring of projects.	
Review and Discussion of New Project Scores	<p>The panel members individually scored the 2 new project applications prior to today’s meeting based on the 9 factors listed in the Project Review and Ranking Process. The two new applications are a new permanent supportive housing (PSH) bonus project from the Housing Authority and a new DV bonus project from CORA for rapid rehousing (RRH) services.</p> <p>The panel reviewed and discussed the need for each new project and the project quality as reflected in the application submitted and in relation to the scoring factors. The panel determined that both projects should be included in the Project Priority list. Scores for each new project were finalized and the project ranking determined, taking into consideration how to maximize the likelihood of funding. Both projects were ranked in Tier 2. The CORA project was placed at the bottom of Tier 2 since it is competing for a separate set-aside of DV funding and was rated lower than the other new project application.</p>	<p>HSA will notify applicants of the project ranking and whether or not their projects are included in the 2018 CoC application.</p> <p>And the CoC Steering Committee will review and approve the ranking at their meeting on 9/7/18</p>
Review and Discussion of Renewal Project Scores and Re-Allocation	HSA staff presented the scores for the renewals projects, which were calculated based on the 13 objective scoring factors in the Project Review and Ranking Process. The panel asked questions about scores but did not identify any needed adjustments. The panel discussed the placement of renewal projects that have no scores (due to not yet having a year of performance data) and the placement of new project applications. A final rank order of renewals was determined, with the objective of maximizing the amount of funding the CoC will receive and placing the smallest number of projects at risk of de-funding. No renewal projects were re-allocated.	
Proposed Project Priority List	<p>The panel finalized the project priority list ranking of the 22 total projects.</p> <p>Tier 1: 16 renewal projects Spanning Tier 1 and Tier 2: 1 renewal project Tier 2: 3 renewal projects and 2 new projects</p> <p>See attachment for full list.</p>	
Closing	Meeting adjourned at approximately 12:30pm	